



Effects of ultrasonic agitation and surfactant additive on surface roughness of Si (1 1 1) crystal plane in alkaline KOH solution



Qingbin Jiao*, Xin Tan, Jiwei Zhu, Shulong Feng, Jianxiang Gao

Institute of Optics, Fine Mechanics and Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanhu Road, Changchun 130033, Jilin, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 June 2015

Received in revised form 15 December 2015

Accepted 27 December 2015

Available online 29 December 2015

Keywords:

Surface roughness
Ultrasonic agitation
Surfactant additive
Wet etching

ABSTRACT

In the silicon wet etching process, the “pseudo-mask” formed by the hydrogen bubbles generated during the etching process is the reason causing high surface roughness and poor surface quality. Based upon the ultrasonic mechanical effect and wettability enhanced by isopropyl alcohol (IPA), ultrasonic agitation and IPA were used to improve surface quality of Si (1 1 1) crystal plane during silicon wet etching process. The surface roughness R_q is smaller than 15 nm when using ultrasonic agitation and R_q is smaller than 7 nm when using IPA. When the range of IPA concentration (mass fraction, wt%) is 5–20%, the ultrasonic frequency is 100 kHz and the ultrasound intensity is 30–50 W/L, the surface roughness R_q is smaller than 2 nm when combining ultrasonic agitation and IPA. The surface roughness R_q is equal to 1 nm when the mass fraction of IPA, ultrasound intensity and the ultrasonic frequency is 20%, 50 W and 100 kHz respectively. The experimental results indicated that the combination of ultrasonic agitation and IPA could obtain a lower surface roughness of Si (1 1 1) crystal plane in silicon wet etching process.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Anisotropic etching of silicon in alkaline solutions is widely used for bulk micromachining in micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) [1]. Anisotropic wet etching of single crystal silicon, which depends on the differential etching rate of its crystallographic planes, has been used to fabricate diverse MEMS devices. Of all the anisotropic etchants, the inorganic KOH (potassium hydroxide) is the most popularly used because of its easily preparation and smaller toxicity [2]. In the process of the chemical reaction between the silicon and KOH solution, the hydrogen bubbles generated during the etching process logged on the etched surface to form the ‘pseudo-mask’ phenomenon [3–5], which will obstruct the chemical reaction between the etchant and the silicon atoms at the surface and lower the etching rate while increasing surface roughness, even causing hillocks to form.

In the semiconductor industry, the magnetic stirring is a common method to reduce the surface roughness of the silicon devices during the monocrystalline silicon wet etching process [6]. However, the limitation of this method lies in the fact that the solution will be layered and the distribution of temperature is uneven, which is difficult to realize the accurate control of microstructure size and uniform distribution of roughness [7]. Recent studies show that the ultrasonic agitation could improve the etching rate

and smoothness of the Si (100) and (110) crystal plane [8–10]. On the other hand, increasing the wettability on the surface of the silicon wafer through using different kinds of surfactant additives also could reduce the surface roughness of the silicon (100) and (110) crystal plane [11–18]. IPA is one of the most commonly used surfactant additives, which could improve the surface quality of silicon (100) crystal plane and reduce the lateral erosion of convex corner [19,20].

The literatures mentioned above are all focused on the studies of the relationship among the surface roughness of the Si (100) and (110) crystal plane and ultrasonic agitation and surfactant additives, and literatures studying the effects of ultrasonic agitation and surfactant additive on surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane in alkaline KOH solution have not been reported. In the present paper, we discuss the relationship between the surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane and ultrasonic agitation, IPA and combination ultrasonic agitation with IPA respectively. The results have shown that the methods mentioned above are all the effective ways to reduce the surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane and the combination ultrasonic agitation with IPA could achieve more smoother, “mirror-like” surface on the whole wafer.

2. Experimental procedure

The silicon wafer used in our experiment is high-purity float zone silicon boules up to 76.2 mm in diameter and 1 mm in thickness with resistivity of approximately 2000 Ω cm, and the supplier

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jqbyyawyx@163.com (Q. Jiao).

of mono-Si is China Electronics Technology Group Corporation No. 46 Research Institute. The surface of silicon wafer will be affected by contamination of organic impurities and metal ions after different process. Based upon this, SC-1 liquid ($\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{H}_2\text{O}_2:\text{NH}_4\text{OH} = 5:1:1$) and SC-2 liquid ($\text{H}_2\text{O}:\text{H}_2\text{O}_2:\text{HCl} = 6:1:1$) are used to clean organic impurities and metal ions respectively. A SiO_2 layer with thickness of 70 nm is deposited on silicon wafer using thermal growth. The wafer is cleaned in accordance with the order of the toluene, acetone, alcohol and water before mask fabrication.

Method used to coat photoresist is spin coating, and spin speed, coating time and photoresist thickness is 3000 rpm, 30 s and 300 nm respectively. The silicon wafer is hardened into the oven after coating with hardening temperature of 120 °C and hardening time of 20 min. It is so important to align mask to crystal orientation because of crystallographic orientation dependence of silicon wet etching. If not be aligned well, the groove will be very rough and not completely collimating. The alignment of mask and crystal orientation can be thought of completely well when the dislocation between mask and crystal orientation is smaller than 0.01° [21]. The lithography is undergoing with the help of ultraviolet exposure machine whose model is KARLSUSSMA6/BA6 and the precise alignment of mask and crystal orientation can be realized due to its alignment accuracy of $\pm 1 \mu\text{m}$, which can solve the problem of big surface roughness of crystal plane. We then develop the photoresist, immersing the entire wafer for 8 min in a 25 °C developer solution that we stir constantly to insure uniform concentration over the entire wafer surface. The developer removes the UV-exposed photoresist, leaving a pattern of photoresist lines on the wafer that is a 1:1 positive image of the contact mask pattern. The SiO_2 mask is formed on silicon substrate by exposure and development of photoresist and etching on SiO_2 layer using BHF and RIE methods [22].

The samples were etched in an ultrasonic bath. The temperature was 60 °C and was controlled with an accuracy of ± 0.2 °C. The mass fraction of KOH solution is 10%. In order to keep solution concentration uniform, the condenser pipe is added during the wet etching process. Ultrasonic with different frequency and power and IPA with different concentration were introduced in the experiment process. For the convenience of discussion, a parameter named ultrasound intensity is defined, which is the ratio of ultrasound intensity divided by volume of the liquid. The range of ultrasonic frequency and ultrasound intensity is 40–100 kHz and 10–50 W/L respectively and the concentrations of IPA are 5%, 10%, 15% and 20%. The morphology, roughness and etching rate of etched surfaces of Si (111) crystal plane were inspected with the atomic force microscopy (AFM) whose model is “Dimension Icon” made by the United States Bruker company (Z sensor noise level: 35 pm RMS typical imaging bandwidth (up to 625 Hz); 50 pm RMS, force curve bandwidth (0.1–5 kHz)). The size of the tip that was used in the AFM experiments is “RTESP” (MPP-11100-10, 40 N/m, 300 kHz, Rotated Tip, No coating) and the mode which AFM operated in is “Tapping mode”. Fig. 1 is the sketch showing experimental equipment in silicon wet etching process.

3. Result and discussion

3.1. The effect of ultrasonic frequency on surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane

The AFM image of Si (111) surface etched in KOH with ultrasonic agitation is shown in Fig. 2. The surface roughness of Si (111) wafers under conditions with ultrasonic agitation and without ultrasonic agitation was measured by using surface profiler, where the ultrasound intensity is equal to 50 W/L, the measurement range is $5 \mu\text{m} \times 5 \mu\text{m}$ and the ultrasonic frequency is




Fig. 1. The sketch showing experimental equipment in silicon wet etching process.




Fig. 2. AFM image of Si (111) surface etched in KOH with ultrasonic agitation.

Table 1

Measurement results of surface roughness and etching rate of Si (111) crystal plane under conditions with ultrasonic agitation and without ultrasonic agitation.

Ultrasonic frequency (kHz)	Surface roughness on R_q (nm)	Etching rate on (111) plane (nm/s)
40	13.41 ± 0.02	0.033
60	11.54 ± 0.05	0.036
80	9.57 ± 0.05	0.044
100	7.34 ± 0.03	0.048
0	40.28 ± 0.04	0.025

40 kHz, 60 kHz, 80 kHz, and 100 kHz respectively. It can be seen from the measured results shown in Table 1 that the surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane decreases with the increasing of ultrasonic frequency and the surface roughness of Si (111) wafers with different ultrasonic frequencies are all less than that without ultrasonic agitation. The etching rate of Si (111) crystal plane is shown in Table 1, it can be seen that the etching rate increases with the increasing of ultrasonic frequency, which means the ultrasonic enhancement on etching rate increases with the increasing of ultrasonic frequency when ultrasound intensity is fixed. During the reaction process between silicon wafer and KOH solution, the hydrogen bubbles generated will log on the etched surface to form the ‘pseudo-mask’ phenomenon, which will obstruct the chemical reaction between the etchant and the silicon atoms at the surface and lower the etching rate while increasing surface roughness of silicon wafer. The aim of introducing ultrasound is to shock the hydrogen bubbles on the basis of the mechanical effects of it, which will decrease the duration for which the hydrogen bubbles are attached to the etched surface, and then




Fig. 3. The sketch showing relationship between surface roughness and ultrasound intensity.




Fig. 4. The sketch showing relationship between etching rate and ultrasound intensity.

improve the etching rate and roughness quality. According to the accelerated degree of etching rate, the mechanical effect is the strongest when the ultrasonic frequency is 100 kHz in the frequency range discussed. It can be seen from the relation between etching rate and the surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane shown in Table 1, the decreasing ability of surface roughness increases with the increasing mechanical effect of ultrasound.

3.2. The effect of ultrasound intensity on surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane

The relationship between ultrasound intensity and surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane on the basis of different ultrasonic frequencies is shown in Fig. 3. The surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane decreases with the increasing ultrasound intensity when the frequency is the same one. Fig. 4 shows the relationship between ultrasound intensity and etching rate of Si (111) crystal plane on the basis of different ultrasonic frequencies. It can be seen that the etching rate of Si (111) crystal plane increases with the increasing ultrasound intensity under the same ultrasonic frequency. Combining Figs. 4 and 5, it can be seen that the surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane decreases with the increasing etching rate under the same ultrasonic frequency. The stronger ultrasonic agitation can rapidly transport the bulk KOH etchant to the etched surface by convection and diffusion and meanwhile accelerate bubble detachment, leading to large etching rate and significant decrease in surface roughness.




Fig. 5. AFM image of Si (111) surface etched in KOH with IPA.

3.3. The effect of concentration of IPA on surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane

The AFM image of Si (111) surface etched in KOH with IPA is shown in Fig. 5. The surface roughness of Si (111) wafers under conditions with IPA was measured by using surface profiler, the measurement range is $5 \mu\text{m} \times 5 \mu\text{m}$ and the concentration of IPA is 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20% respectively. It can be seen from the measured results shown in Fig. 6 that both of the etching rates and surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane decrease with the increasing of IPA concentration and the surface roughness of Si




Fig. 6. Etching rate and surface roughness of (111) crystal plane against mass fraction of IPA during the etching process.




Fig. 7. AFM image of Si (111) surface etched in KOH with ultrasonic agitation and IPA.

(111) wafers with different concentrations R_q are all smaller than 7 nm. In the traditional monocrystalline silicon wet etching process, the hydrogen bubbles generated will log on the etched sur-

face to form the ‘pseudo-mask’ phenomenon. The reason causing the phenomenon is mainly because of the bigger contact angle between hydrogen bubbles and silicon surface. The introduction of IPA can change the wettability of silicon surface, which could decrease contact angle between hydrogen bubbles and silicon surface. With the increase of concentration of IPA, the wettability enhancement increases and the contact angle decreases, and this could decrease the duration for which the hydrogen bubbles are attached to the etched surface, and then improve the surface roughness quality (see Fig. 7).

3.4. The mutual effect of IPA concentration and ultrasonic parameters on the surface roughness of the Si (111) crystal plane

It can be seen from the results discussed above that both of ultrasonic agitation and IPA could greatly reduce the surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane. To ensure whether could obtain a lower surface roughness or not, the combination ultrasonic agitation and IPA is introduced in the silicon wet etching process. By changing the experimental parameters for different




Fig. 8. Surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane against ultrasound intensity and frequency during the etching process under different mass fraction of IPA.

experimental conditions, 80 experiments were conducted. Fig. 8 shows the relationship between surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane and IPA concentration, ultrasonic frequency, ultrasound intensity. The results indicate that the surface roughness of Si (111) wafers with the combination method R_q are all less than 5 nm, and the surface roughness R_q is smaller than 2 nm when the ultrasonic frequency is 100 kHz and the range of IPA concentration and ultrasound intensity is 5–20% and 30–50 W/L respectively. When the IPA concentration, ultrasonic frequency and ultrasound intensity is 20%, 100 kHz and 50 W/L respectively, the surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane R_q is equal to 1 nm.

The introduction of IPA can decrease the surface tension between hydrogen bubbles and etchant, which could decrease contact angle between hydrogen bubbles and silicon surface and accelerate bubble detachment. Meanwhile, the introduction of ultrasonic field could change the distribution of liquid velocity (acoustic streaming) [23–29] between etchant and silicon surface. When detaching, the hydrogen bubbles are affected by two forces including buoyancy of etchant and volume force [30–32] that causes acoustic streaming at the same time, which may make detached speed of bubbles faster and improve the surface roughness quality better than that under the etching condition with IPA alone.

4. Conclusion

The enhancement effect of essential factors including ultrasonic frequencies and power and IPA concentration on surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane have been investigated. The experimental results indicate that: (a) the surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane decreases with the increasing of ultrasonic frequencies under the same ultrasound intensity, (b) the surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane decreases with the increasing of etching rate under the same ultrasonic frequency, (c) the surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane increases with the increasing of IPA concentration, (d) combining the ultrasonic agitation and IPA concentration can obtain a lower surface roughness of Si (111) crystal plane in silicon wet etching process.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the project developing key scientific instrument and equipment of China (2011YQ120023).

References

- [1] Vijayanand S. Moholkar, Marijin C.G. Warmoeskerken, Mechanism of mass-transfer enhancement in textiles by ultrasound, *AIChE J.* 50 (2004) 58–64.
- [2] Cunshan Zhou, Haile Ma, Ultrasonic degradation of polysaccharide from a red algae (*Porphyra yezoensis*), *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 54 (2006) 2223–2228.
- [3] G. Kovacs, N. Maluf, K. Petersen, Bulk micromachining of silicon, *Proc. IEEE* 86 (1998) 1536–1551.
- [4] E.D. Palik, O.J. Glembocik, I. Heard, P.S. Burno, L. Tenerz, Etching roughness for (100) silicon surfaces in aqueous KOH, *J. Appl. Phys.* 70 (6) (1991) 3291–3300.
- [5] S.A. Campbell, K. Cooper, L. Dixon, R. Earwaker, S.N. Port, Inhibition of pyramid formation in the etching of Si P (100) in aqueous potassium hydroxide-isopropanol, *J. Micromech. Microeng.* 5 (1995) 209–218.
- [6] Jing Chen, Litan Lin, Zhijian Li, et al., Study of anisotropic etching of (100) Si with ultrasonic agitation, *Sens. Actuators, A* 96 (2002) 152–156.
- [7] Chii-Rong Yang, Po-Ying Chen, Yuang-Cherng Chion, et al., Effects of mechanical agitation and surfactant additive on silicon anisotropic etching in alkaline KOH solution, *Sens. Actuators, A* 119 (2005) 263–270.
- [8] Theo Baum, David J. Schiffrin, AFM study of surface finish improvement by ultrasound in the anisotropic etching of Si (100) in KOH for micromachining application, *J. Micromech. Microeng.* 7 (1997) 338–342.
- [9] K. Ohwada, Y. Negoro, Y. Konaka, et al., Groove depth uniformization in (110) Si anisotropic etching by ultrasonic wave and application to accelerometer fabrication, *Proc. IEEE Micro Electro Mech. Syst.* (1995).
- [10] I. Zubeł, M. Kramkowska, The effect of isopropyl alcohol on etching rate and roughness of (100) Si surface etched in KOH and TMAH solutions, *Sens. Actuators, A* 93 (2001) 138–147.
- [11] S.A. Campbell, K. Cooper, L. Dixon, et al., Inhibition of pyramid formation in the etching of Si P (100) in aqueous potassium hydroxide-isopropanol, *J. Micromech. Microeng.* 5 (1995) 209–218.
- [12] C. Strandman, L. Rosengren, H.G.A. Elderstig, et al., Fabrication of 45 mirrors together with well-defined V-grooves using wet anisotropic etching of silicon, *J. Microelectromech. Syst.* 4 (1995) 213–219.
- [13] Y. Backlund, L. Rosengren, New shapes in (100) Si using KOH and EDP etches, *J. Micromech. Microeng.* 2 (1992) 75–79.
- [14] I. Zubeł, Silicon anisotropic etching in alkaline solutions III: on the possibility of spatial structures forming in the course of Si (100) anisotropic etching in KOH and KOH+IPA solutions, *Sens. Actuators, A* 84 (2000) 116–125.
- [15] C. Moldovan, R. Losub, D. Dascalu, et al., Anisotropic etching of silicon in complexant redox alkaline system, *Sens. Actuators, B* 58 (1999) 438–449.
- [16] C. Moldovan, R. Losub, M. Modreanu, Elimination of silicon hillocks using an alkaline complexant etching system, *Int. J. Inorg. Mater.* 3 (2001) 1173–1176.
- [17] R. Divan, H. Camon, N. Moldovan, et al., Limiting roughness in anisotropic etching, *Semicond. Conf. IEEE* 2 (1997) 553–556.
- [18] R. Divan, N. Moldovan, H. Camon, Roughening and smoothing dynamic during KOH silicon etching, *Sens. Actuators, A* 74 (1999) 18–23.
- [19] I. Zubeł, I. Barycka, K. Kotowska, et al., Silicon anisotropic etching in alkaline solutions IV: the effect of organic and inorganic agents on silicon anisotropic etching process, *Sens. Actuators, A* 87 (2001) 163–171.
- [20] I. Zubeł, M. Kramkowska, The effect of alcohol additives on etching characteristics in KOH solutions, *Sens. Actuators, A* 101 (2002) 255–261.
- [21] J.M. Lai, W.H. Chieng, Y.C. Huang, Precision alignment of mask etching with respect to crystal orientation, *J. Micromech. Microeng.* 8 (1998) 327–329.
- [22] R.L. Bristol, J.A. Britten, R. Hemphill, et al., Silicon diffraction gratings for use in the far and extreme-ultraviolet, *SPIE* 3114 (1997) 580–585.
- [23] Zheng Xu, Keiji Yasuda, Shinobu Koda, Numerical simulation of liquid velocity distribution in a sonochemical reactor, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 20 (2013) 452–459.
- [24] M. Chouvellon, A. Largillier, T. Fournel, P. Boldo, Y. Gonthier, Velocity study in an ultrasonic reactor, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 7 (2000) 207–211.
- [25] Yoshihiro Kojima, Yoshiyuki Asakura, Genki Sugiyama, Shinobu Koda, The effects of acoustic flow and mechanical flow on the sonochemical efficiency in a rectangular sonochemical reactor, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 17 (2010) 978–984.
- [26] A. Mandroyan, R. Viennet, Y. Bailly, M.L. Doche, J.Y. Hihn, Modification of the ultrasound induced activity by the presence of an electrode in a sonoreactor working at two low frequencies (20 and 40 kHz). Part I: active zone visualization by laser tomography, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 16 (2009) 88–97.
- [27] A. Mandroyan, M.L. Doche, J.Y. Hihn, R. Viennet, Y. Bailly, L. Simonin, Modification of the ultrasound induced activity by the presence of an electrode in a sonoreactor working at two low frequencies (20 and 40 kHz). Part II: mapping flow velocities by particle image velocimetry (PIV), *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 16 (2009) 97–104.
- [28] Y. Kojima, Y. Asakura, G. Sugiyama, S. Koda, The effects of acoustic flow and mechanical flow on the sono-chemical efficiency in a rectangular sonochemical reactor, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 17 (2010) 978–984.
- [29] F.J. Trujillo, K. Knoerzer, A computational modeling approach of the jet-like acoustic streaming and heat generation induced by low frequency high power ultrasonic horn reactors, *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 18 (2011) 1263–1273.
- [30] W.M. Kays, M.E. Crawford, *Convective Heat and Mass Transfer*, third ed., McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, 1993.
- [31] Herbert Oertel, *Prandtl-Essentials of Fluid Mechanics*, third ed., Springer, New York, 2010.
- [32] J. Wu, G. Du, Acoustic streaming generated by a focused Gaussian beam and finite amplitude tonebursts, *Ultrason. Med. Biol.* 19 (1993) 167–176.