

Cite this: *Nanoscale*, 2015, 7, 18908Received 30th August 2015,
Accepted 8th October 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5nr05918f

www.rsc.org/nanoscale

Surface plasmon effect of carbon nanodots

Deng-Yang Guo,^{a,b} Chong-Xin Shan,^{*a,c} Kai-Kai Liu,^{a,b} Qing Lou^c and De-Zhen Shen^a

Luminescent ZnO quantum dots (QDs) have been prepared, and the fluorescence intensity of the QDs can be increased greatly with the introduction of carbon nanodots, while the fluorescence lifetime of the QDs decreases significantly. The fluorescence enhancement and lifetime decrement can be attributed to the surface plasmon effect of the carbon nanodots, and the calculated surface plasmon resonance frequency of the nanodots matches well with the fluorescence spectrum of the ZnO QDs.

Introduction

Surface plasmon effect has attracted much attention in recent years for its versatile potential applications in many interesting fields including but not limited to improving the resolution of microscopes,^{1–5} enhancing the sensitivity of chemical and biological sensors,^{6–11} increasing the quantum efficiency of light-emitting devices,^{12–14} and killing cancerous tissues.^{15–17} Classically, metal nanoparticles are utilized as the arena for surface plasmons,^{18–22} but metal nanoparticles-based surface plasmons usually have a relatively large optical loss, which impairs the applications of such surface plasmons greatly.^{19,23,24} It has been reported that highly doped semiconductors can also serve as the arena for surface plasmons, but such surface plasmons can usually only cover the infrared region.^{25–28} Nowadays, graphene has shown the ability to provide surface plasmons in various spectrum regions ranging from ultraviolet to infrared,^{29–31} and the resonance peaks can be tuned by doping or regulating the sizes of the graphene nanostructures.^{32–35} As an emerging type of carbon based nanostructure, carbon nanodots have been a research focus in recent years for their high luminescent efficiency, tunable emission, and good bio-compatibility, *etc.*^{36–39} Because of the

above characteristics, carbon nanodots have great potential applications in light-emitting devices, bio-imaging and labeling, *etc.*^{39–43} As carbon nanodots are complex carbon nano-materials with a mix of amorphous carbon and graphitic carbon,^{44,45} surface plasmon resonance could be observed with carbon nanodots due to the graphitic carbon. However, no such reports can be found to date.

In this work, we found that the fluorescence of ZnO quantum dots (QDs) solution can be enhanced greatly by introducing carbon nanodots, while the lifetime of the fluorescence is decreased significantly, and the above phenomenon can be attributed to the surface plasmon effect of the nanodots. Considering that no previous reports on carbon nanodot surface plasmons can be found, the results reported in this paper may open another potential application area for carbon nanodots.

Experimental

Materials and synthesis

The ZnO QDs in this study were synthesized *via* a sol-gel method.⁴⁶ Firstly, an Erlenmeyer flask loaded with 0.3 g lithium hydroxide monohydrate and 40 ml ethyl alcohol underwent ultrasonic treatment for 15 minutes. Then a three-neck flask loaded with 1.1 g zinc acetate dihydrate and a magnetic stirrer was installed with an oil-bath and a condenser, of which the straight part connecting the flask was sealed under nitrogen, and water cycled through the outer compartment for cooling. After that, the solution obtained in the first step was added into the three-neck flask and constantly stirred, and the oil temperature was kept at 35 °C. The zinc acetate dihydrate was totally dissolved after around 20 minutes. At last, the transparent and colourless solution was put into an Erlenmeyer flask and underwent ultrasonic treatment in ice water for half an hour. In this way, ZnO QDs in ethanol solution were prepared. The carbon nanodots were synthesized by octadecylene, 1-hexadecylamine and anhydrous citric acid in a heating flask under argon flow. Jelly-like carbon

^aState Key Laboratory of Luminescence and Applications, Changchun Institute of Optics, Fine Mechanics and Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun, 130033, China. E-mail: shancx@ciomp.ac.cn

^bUniversity of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 10049, China

^cSchool of Physical Engineering, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450052, China

nanodots were obtained after purification by precipitating 5 times with acetone, and finally dissolved into toluene.^{36,47}

Characterization and measurements

The morphology of the ZnO QDs was characterized using a Philips TF-F20 transmission electron microscope (TEM) operating at 200 kV and a Di3100 atomic force microscope (AFM). The Raman spectra of the carbon nanodots were obtained using a JY630 micro-Raman spectrometer. The fluorescence spectra of the carbon nanodots and ZnO QDs were measured in a Shimadzu F4500 spectrometer with a Xe lamp as the excitation source. The transient spectra of the ZnO QDs and carbon nanodots were measured in an Edinburgh Instruments LTDZ FL920 time-corrected single photon counting system.

Results and discussion

The morphology and fluorescence characteristics of the ZnO QDs are displayed in Fig. 1. It can be seen that spherical shaped QDs have been obtained, and the size of the QDs is around 5 nm, as indicated in Fig. 1(a). The high-resolution TEM image of the ZnO QDs shown in Fig. 1(b) reveals clear lattice fringes, and the selected area electron diffraction pattern displayed in Fig. 1(c) indicates the high crystalline quality of the QDs. Fig. 1(d) exhibits the fluorescence spectrum of the ZnO QDs solution excited by a xenon lamp. One can see two emission bands at around 370 nm and 530 nm, and the former can be attributed to the near band-edge emission of

ZnO, while the latter is from the deep-level related emissions of ZnO.⁴⁸

Interestingly, the introduction of carbon nanodots into the ZnO QDs solution can increase the luminescence intensity of the QDs greatly, as shown in Fig. 2. One can see from the figure that under the excitation of 320 nm line of a xenon lamp, when 1/5000 mole ratio of carbon nanodots was added into the ZnO QD solution, the emission of the QDs can be increased significantly while the emission shape remains. With a further increase in the mole ratio of the carbon nanodots, the emission of the ZnO QDs was increased further. The inset of Fig. 2 shows the dependence of the enhancement ratio on the ZnO/carbon ratio. It is evidenced that when the carbon mole ratio increases from 1/5000 to 1/250, the emission enhancement ratio increases from 1.6 to 3.9. The above data reveals that the carbon can enhance the emission intensity of the ZnO QDs greatly. To explore the enhancement mechanism caused by the introduction of carbon nanodots, the properties of the carbon nanodots were studied, as indicated below.

The morphology of the carbon nanodots is pictured in Fig. 3. As shown in Fig. 3(a), the size of the carbon nanodots range from 8 nm to 15 nm, and the high-resolution TEM image of the carbon nanodots provided in Fig. 3(b) shows one typical nanodot, which is a round-shaped nanodot with a diameter of around 8 nm. In order to exhibit the 3D morphology of the carbon nanodots, an AFM image of the nanodots is shown in Fig. 4. The diameter of the investigated carbon nanodots is in the order of ten nanometres, which is consistent with the TEM image shown in Fig. 3(a). It is noteworthy that the height of the nanodots is from 0.35 nm to 2 nm, which corresponds to several atomic layers, indicating that the carbon nanodots are shaped in round disks with a diameter of 8–15 nm and a height of several atomic layers. Actually, carbon atomic layers of this kind represent a graphite structure, which can be confirmed by the Raman spectrum shown in Fig. 5,




Fig. 1 (a) Low-magnification TEM image and high resolution TEM image (b) of the ZnO QDs; (c) selected area electron diffraction pattern of the ZnO QDs; (d) fluorescence spectrum of the ZnO QDs.




Fig. 2 Fluorescence spectra of the mixed solutions of ZnO QDs and carbon nanodots with different mole ratios under the excitation of 320 nm line of a xenon lamp, and the inset shows the dependence of the emission enhancement ratio on the ZnO/carbon ratio.




Fig. 3 Low-magnification TEM image (a) and high resolution TEM image (b) of the carbon nanodots.




Fig. 4 AFM image of the carbon nanodots; the height profile of some nanodots has also been displayed.




Fig. 5 Raman spectrum of the carbon nanodots.

where the D band at around 1360 cm^{-1} is an indication of amorphous carbon, while the G band at around 1580 cm^{-1} is an indication of graphite-structured carbon.^{44,45}

One of the unique characteristics of carbon nanodots lies in their excitation dependent fluorescence properties. To test the above characteristics of the carbon nanodots in our case, the fluorescence spectra of the carbon nanodot solution under the excitation of a series of wavelengths of a xenon lamp have been recorded, as indicated in Fig. 6. To distinguish the emission location of the carbon nanodots clearly, in this figure the intensity of each curve has been normalized to unity. It can be seen that the emission of the carbon nanodots is located at around 440 nm under the excitation of the 320 nm line, while it shifts gradually to around 550 nm when the excitation wavelength is increased to 440 nm. The above data confirm the formation of luminescent carbon nanodots.

To uncover the interaction between the ZnO QDs and carbon nanodots, the transient fluorescence spectra of the mixed solution have been measured, as illustrated in Fig. 7. Note that the two transient fluorescence spectra shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b) were both measured by monitoring the emission peaks of the carbon nanodots (456 nm) and ZnO QDs (530 nm) under the excitation of the 365 nm line of a xenon lamp. Fig. 7(a) presents the decay curves of the emission at 456 nm for the carbon nanodots and ZnO QDs/carbon nanodots mixed solution. To derive the decay time, the experimental data were fitted using the following single-exponential decay formula:

$$y = y_0 + y_1 \exp(-t/\tau_1) \quad (1)$$

where y is the fluorescence intensity, y_0 and y_1 are constants, and t denotes time. The fitting results are labelled in Fig. 7(a); note that the fluorescence intensity has been normalized into unity for the two curves. One can see that the decay time of the carbon nanodot solution is 6.8 ns, while that of the mixed




Fig. 6 Excitation dependent normalized fluorescence spectra of the carbon nanodots.




Fig. 7 (a) Transient fluorescence spectra of the emission at 456 nm from carbon nanodots and ZnO QDs/carbon nanodots mixed solution; (b) transient fluorescence spectra of the emission at 530 nm from ZnO QDs and ZnO QDs/carbon nanodots mixed solution with the ratio of 125.

solution is 6.2 ns; that is, the fluorescence lifetime of the carbon nanodots has not been altered much with the introduction of ZnO QDs.

Fig. 7(b) shows the transient fluorescence curves of the emission at 530 nm from the ZnO QDs and ZnO QDs/carbon nanodots mixed solution, and all the experimental data can be well fitted using a bi-exponential decay expression; the fitting results of which are listed in Table 1. Here τ_1 may come from

Table 1 Decay time of the ZnO QDs mixed with carbon nanodots with different mole ratios

Sample	τ_1 (ns)	τ_2 (ns)
ZnO	34.3	605.4
ZnO/C = 5000	6.4	50.1
ZnO/C = 2500	7.2	42.8
ZnO/C = 500	6.1	31.5
ZnO/C = 250	6.2	29.8
ZnO/C = 125	6.6	28.9

the deep-level recombination inside the ZnO QDs, while τ_2 comes from the surface defects. It is noteworthy that the decay times τ_1 and τ_2 for the ZnO QDs are 34.3 ns and 605.4 ns, respectively, while for the mixed solution with a ZnO QDs/carbon nanodots mole ratio of 125, the decay times are 6.6 ns and 28.9 ns. Note that for different ZnO/carbon ratios, the decay times are similar, confirming the credibility of the data, as listed in Table 1. One can see from the above results that the decay times of the emission from the ZnO QDs have been decreased significantly with the introduction of carbon nanodots, indicating that the carbon nanodots not only enhance the fluorescence intensity of the ZnO QDs, but also decrease the fluorescence lifetime of the QDs greatly.

The above results indicate that there exists an interaction between the ZnO QDs and carbon nanodots that leads to the fluorescence intensity enhancement and lifetime decrement of the ZnO QDs. There are three possible mechanisms underlying the phenomenon: photon excitation, fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET), and surface plasmon effect. Firstly, according to Fig. 2, both of the two emission bands of ZnO QDs (at around 370 nm and 530 nm) have been enhanced, but the photon emitted from the carbon nanodots (440 nm) cannot excite the emission at 370 nm of ZnO due to its smaller energy. Thus the fluorescence enhancement cannot come from the excitation of ZnO QDs by the emission from the carbon nanodots. Secondly, according to the FRET theory, the donor of the transfer definitely suffers a decline in lifetime while the acceptor has an increase in lifetime.⁴⁹ However, the lifetime of the carbon nanodots donor do not suffer any obvious decrease, and that of the ZnO QDs acceptor decreases greatly, as shown in Fig. 7, contrary to the FRET theory, thus the enhancement caused by FRET can be ruled out. As for the surface plasmon effect, it has been widely reported to have the ability to improve the fluorescence by decreasing the non-radiative recombination possibility of carriers,^{50,51} and since the lifetime of surface plasmons is much smaller than that of electrons and holes,¹⁹ there is always a decline in fluorescence lifetime along with the fluorescence enhancement, which accords well with the phenomena observed in our case, thus the fluorescence enhancement of the ZnO QDs with the introduction of carbon nanodots may be due to the surface plasmon effect of the carbon nanodots.

Actually, it has been demonstrated that both graphene nanodisks and carbon nanotubes can offer a platform for surface plasmons, and the plasmon resonance frequency can be tuned by the adjusting the diameters of the nanodisks.^{32–35,52–54} The surface plasmon frequency of graphite structure can be expressed by the following formula:^{55–58}

$$\omega(q) = \left[\frac{n_e e^2}{\epsilon_0 (1 + \epsilon_m) m^*} q + \frac{3}{4} v_F^2 q^2 \right]^{1/2} \quad (2)$$

where ω is the plasmon frequency, n_e is electrons density, e is the elementary charge, ϵ_0 is the permittivity in vacuum, ϵ_m is the background dielectric constant, m^* is the effective mass of the graphite electrons, and v_F is the Fermi velocity. In our case,

the background dielectric constant is 24.5 for the ethanol solvent, and q equals to $2\pi/d$, where d is the diameter of the carbon nanodots. The calculated results reveal that the surface plasmon resonance frequency is located at 357 nm when the diameter of the nanodots is 8 nm, while the frequency is 621 nm when the diameter is 15 nm. That is, the plasmon frequency of the carbon nanodots in our case overlaps well with the fluorescence spectrum of the ZnO QDs. Note that it has been frequently reported that the plasmon resonance frequency of carbon nanostructures can cover a large spectrum range by either tuning the size, doping concentration, or bias applied onto the structures.^{32–35} The calculated wide plasmon frequency of the carbon nanodots confirms the rationality that the fluorescence of the ZnO QDs can be enhanced by the surface plasmon of the carbon nanodots in our case.

Conclusions

In summary, the introduction of carbon nanodots leads to a remarkable fluorescence enhancement of ZnO QDs along with a significant decrement of the fluorescence lifetime; the above phenomenon can be attributed to the surface plasmon effect of carbon nanodots. The calculation confirms that the surface plasmon resonance frequency depends on the size of the carbon nanodots involved. Considering that no reports on the surface plasmon effect of carbon nanodots have been reported previously, the results in this paper may find another promising area for carbon nanodots, and trigger much attention in the field of both surface plasmons and carbon nanodots.

Acknowledgements

This work is financially supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (2011CB302005), the National Science Foundation for Distinguished Young Scholars of China (61425021), the Natural Science Foundation of China (11374296, and 61177040).

Notes and references

- H. A. Atwater, *Sci. Am.*, 2007, **296**, 56–62.
- W. L. Barnes, A. Dereux and T. W. Ebbesen, *Nature*, 2003, **424**, 824–830.
- D. E. Chang, A. S. Sørensen, E. A. Demler and M. D. Lukin, *Nat. Phys.*, 2007, **3**, 807–812.
- X. Yu, J. Ta, Y. Shen, G. Liang, T. Liu, Y. Zhang and Q. J. Wang, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 9925–9929.
- B. Rothenhäusler and W. Knoll, *Nature*, 1988, **332**, 615–617.
- L. M. Liz-Marzan, C. J. Murphy and J. F. Wang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 3820–3822.
- L. He, M. D. Musick, S. R. Nicewarner, F. G. Salinas, S. J. Benkovic, M. J. Natan and C. D. Keating, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 9071–9077.
- C. E. Berger, T. A. Beumer, R. P. Kooyman and J. Greve, *Anal. Chem.*, 1998, **70**, 703–706.
- J. R. L. Guerreiro, M. Frederiksen, V. E. Bochenkov, V. De Freitas, M. G. Ferreira Sales and D. S. Sutherland, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 7958–7967.
- J. Homola, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 462–493.
- K. M. Mayer and J. H. Hafner, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 3828–3857.
- K. Okamoto, *Nat. Mater.*, 2004, **3**, 601–605.
- L. Q. Li and Y. W. Lv, *J. Semicond.*, 2014, **35**, 043003.
- B. H. Kim, C. H. Cho, J. S. Mun, M. K. Kwon, T. Y. Park, J. S. Kim, C. C. Byeon, J. Lee and S. J. Park, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 3100–3104.
- N. J. Durr, T. Larson, D. K. Smith, B. A. Korgel, K. Sokolov and A. Ben-Yakar, *Nano Lett.*, 2007, **7**, 941–945.
- J. Y. Chen, D. L. Wang, J. F. Xi, L. Au, A. Siekkinen, A. Warsen, Z. Y. Li, H. Zhang, Y. N. Xia and X. D. Li, *Nano Lett.*, 2007, **7**, 1318–1322.
- P. K. Jain, I. H. El-Sayed and M. A. El-Sayed, *Nano Today*, 2007, **2**, 18–29.
- W. L. Barnes, A. Dereux and T. W. Ebbesen, *Nature*, 2003, **424**, 824–830.
- H. L. Jia, C. H. Fang, X. M. Zhu, Q. F. Ruan, Y. X. J. Wang and J. F. Wang, *Langmuir*, 2015, **31**, 7418–7426.
- B. Zhao, M. M. Jiang, D. X. Zhao, Y. Li, F. Wang and D. Z. Shen, *Nanoscale*, 2015, **7**, 1081–1089.
- Q. C. Sun, Y. C. Ding, S. M. Goodman, H. H. Funke and P. Nagpal, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 12450–12457.
- M. Hojeij, N. Younan, L. Ribeaucourt and H. H. Girault, *Nanoscale*, 2010, **2**, 1665–1669.
- H. Ditlbacher, A. Hohenau, D. Wagner, U. Kreibitz, M. Rogers, F. Hofer, R. A. Franz and J. R. Krenn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2005, **95**, 257403.
- M. M. Jiang, B. Zhao, H. Y. Chen, D. X. Zhao, C. X. Shan and D. Z. Shen, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 1354–1361.
- G. Garcia, R. Buonsanti, E. L. Runnerstrom, R. J. Mendelsberg, A. Llordes, A. Anders, T. J. Richardson and D. J. Milliron, *Nano Lett.*, 2011, **11**, 4415–4420.
- J. M. Luther, P. K. Jain, T. Ewers and A. P. Alivisatos, *Nat. Mater.*, 2011, **10**, 361–366.
- J. Wang, X. J. Wang, Y. Jiao, M. W. Chu, M. Malac and Q. Li, *Nanoscale*, 2010, **2**, 681–684.
- S. Ghosh, M. Saha and S. K. De, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 7039–7051.
- R. Liu, X. W. Fu, J. Meng, Y. Q. Bie, D. P. Yu and Z. M. Liao, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 5294–5298.
- C. H. Gan, H. S. Chu and E. P. Li, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter*, 2012, **85**, 125431.
- Z. G. Dai, X. H. Xiao, W. Wu, Y. P. Zhang, L. Liao, S. S. Guo, J. J. Ying, C. X. Shan, M. T. Sun and C. Z. Jiang, *Light: Sci. Appl.*, 2015, **4**, e342.
- F. H. L. Koppens, D. E. Chang and F. J. G. de Abajo, *Nano Lett.*, 2011, **11**, 3370–3377.
- I. Silveiro and F. J. G. de Abajo, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, **104**, 131103.

- 34 J. Kim, H. Son, D. J. Cho, B. S. Geng, W. Regan, S. F. Shi, K. Kim, A. Zettl, Y. R. Shen and F. Wang, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 5598–5602.
- 35 L. Zhang, J. Yang, X. Fu and M. Zhang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2013, **103**, 163114.
- 36 F. Wang, S. Pang, L. Wang, Q. Li, M. Kreiter and C. Y. Liu, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 4528–4530.
- 37 H. Liu, Z. He, L. P. Jiang and J. J. Zhu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 4913–4920.
- 38 S. Ghosh, A. M. Chizhik, N. Karedla, M. O. Dekaliuk, I. Gregor, H. Schuhmann, M. Seibt, K. Bodensiek, I. A. T. Schaap, O. Schulz, A. P. Demchenko, J. Enderlein and A. I. Chizhik, *Nano Lett.*, 2014, **14**, 5656–5661.
- 39 S. Qu, X. Wang, Q. Lu, X. Liu and L. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **124**, 12381–12384.
- 40 W. Kwon, S. Do, J. Lee, S. Hwang, J. K. Kim and S. W. Rhee, *Chem. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 1893–1899.
- 41 S. Y. Park, H. U. Lee, E. S. Park, S. C. Lee, J. W. Lee, S. W. Jeong, C. H. Kim, Y. Ch. Lee, Y. S. Huh and J. Lee, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 3365–3370.
- 42 M. Nurunnabi, Z. Khatun, G. R. Reeck, D. Y. Lee and Y. K. Lee, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 12413–12421.
- 43 F. Wang, Y. H. Chen, C. Y. Liu and D. G. Ma, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 3502–3504.
- 44 S. N. Baker and G. A. Baker, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 6726–6744.
- 45 H. Li, Z. Kang, Y. Liu and S. T. Lee, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 24230–24253.
- 46 L. Spanhel and M. A. Anderson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 2826–2833.
- 47 D. Y. Guo, C. X. Shan, S. N. Qu and D. Z. Shen, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, **4**, 7469.
- 48 M. Dutta, S. Jana and D. Basak, *ChemPhysChem*, 2010, **11**, 1774–1779.
- 49 A. R. Clapp, I. L. Medintz, B. R. Fisher, G. P. Anderson and H. Mattoussi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 1242–1250.
- 50 J. H. Song, T. Atay, S. Shi, H. Urabe and A. V. Nurmikko, *Nano Lett.*, 2005, **5**, 1557–1561.
- 51 B. H. Kim, C. H. Cho, J. S. Mun, M. K. Kwon, T. Y. Park, J. S. Kim, C. C. Byeon, J. Lee and S. J. Park, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 3100–3104.
- 52 I. V. Bondarev, *Opt. Exp.*, 2015, **23**, 3971.
- 53 I. V. Bondarev, L. M. Woods and K. Tatur, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter*, 2009, **80**, 085407.
- 54 I. V. Bondarev and A. V. Meliksetyan, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter*, 2014, **89**, 045414.
- 55 S. W. Hwang, D. H. Shin, C. O. Kim, S. H. Hong, M. C. Kim, J. Kim, K. Y. Lim, S. Kim, S. H. Choi, K. J. Ahn, G. Kim, S. H. Sim and B. H. Hong, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2010, **105**(12), 127403.
- 56 A. Bostwick, T. Ohta, T. Seyller, K. Horn and E. Rotenberg, *Nat. Phys.*, 2007, **3**, 36.
- 57 Y. Liu, R. F. Willis, K. V. Emtsev and T. Seyller, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter*, 2008, **78**, 201403.
- 58 Y. Liu and R. F. Willis, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter*, 2010, **81**, 081406.